

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9408	
10/004,485	11/02/2001	William P. Schenk JR.	99,316/1105.025		
7590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER			
Richard L. Sampson 50 Congress Street			FITZGERALD, JOHN P		
Boston, MA 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/004,485	SCHENK, WILLIA	SCHENK, WILLIAM P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John P Fitzgerald	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of to If the period for reply specified above is less that If NO period for reply is specified above, the material of the period for reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply kimum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this cooned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to re	is/are withdraw . I. d to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p	e of: riority documents riority documents opies of the priori ernational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumr					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			ail Date nal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/004,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-33 and 36, drawn to magnetic mounting assembly and method, classified in class 248, subclass 206.5.
 - II. Claims 34 and 35, drawn to a measurement device/storage tank assembly, classified in class 73, subclass 861. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the measurement device may be mounted to the tank in any desirable manner. The subcombination has separate utility such as a mounting platform for any type of device or signage.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 1 and 2; Figures 3 and 4; Figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was unable to be made to the Applicant or the Applicant's representative in order to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made due to insufficient contact information..

Application/Control Number: 10/004,485 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fitzgerald whose telephone number is (571) 272-2843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JF **** 07/27/2004 MEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800